



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/509,110 09/27/2004		Eberhard Ammermann	53379	1283	
26474 75	90 08/10/2005		EXAMINER		
	JCE DELUCA & QUIC	PRYOR, ALTON	PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
1300 EYE STR SUITE 400 EA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1616		
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/509	,110	AMMERMANN ET AL.			
		Examin	ег	Art Unit			
		Alton N	Pryor	1616			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ions of time may be available under the provisions IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the s atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply be tatutory minimum of thirty (30) did will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. VED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 🔲 1	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on					
2a)□ ¯	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.				
•—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6,8 and 10-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5,7 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	n Papers						
9) <u></u> ⊤	he specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 048)	Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail				
3) 🔯 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 9/27/04.			Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/509,110

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4,6,8,10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eicken et al (6420605; 7/16/02) and Wachendorff et al (AU 20010121641; 6/25/01) or Schelberger et al (WO 9948365;9/30/99) or Hatcher (US2003019640; 1/30/03) or Stenzel (US 6509343; 1/21/03). Eicken teaches a fungicidal formulation comprising a carrier and the instant compound of formula I. See Table I compound L20. Eicken teaches a method of applying compound L20 onto fungi. See claims 1-7. Eicken does not teach the composition comprising instant compound of formula X, formula VI, dimoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, trifloxystrobin or instant compound of formula V. However, Wachendorff teaches a fungicidal composition comprising instant compound of formula X. See abstract, claims. Schelberger teaches a fungicidal composition comprising instant compound of formula VI. See abstract, claims. Hatcher teaches a fungicidal composition comprising dimoxystrobin, picoxystrobin or trifloxystrobin. See abstract, claims. Stenzel teaches a fungicidal composition comprising instant compound of formula V. See abstract, claims. It would have been obvious to having ordinary skill in the art to modify the composition / method taught by Eicken to include the instant compound of formula X, formula VI, dimoxystrobin, picoxystrobin, trifloxystrobin or

Art Unit: 1616

instant compound of formula V. One would have been motivated to do this since all individual prior art inventions have the same utility, i.e. to control fungal growth. With the respect to the instant amounts of ingredients, it would have been obvious to determine the optimum amounts. One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop a composition / method that would have been most effective in controlling fungi growth.

Claim Objection

Claims 5,7,9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant provides unexpected results for compositions comprising the compound of formula 1 plus pyraclostrobin, kresoximmethyl, or azoxystrobin.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,110

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Page 4

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner

AU 1616